



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,378	01/26/2001	Tomoyuki Hamamura	P 276743 T4IA-00S0916 5764	
909 7	590 03/24/2004	EXAMINER		INER
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			DESIRE, GREGORY M	
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2625	3
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	4 <i>ノ</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/769,378	HAMAMURA, TOMOYUKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregory M. Desire	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuary 2001.					
- , —	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are allowed.	_ ,					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 7</u> is/are rejected.	b)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 8-10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/769,378

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seybold et al (6,005,973) in view of Burges et al (5,727,081).

 Regarding claims 1 and 7 Seybold discloses,

A character recognition-processing step of performing recognition processing of an input character string that corresponds to a word to be recognized by each character, thereby obtaining the character recognition result (note fig. 2 block 200 and 201 and col. 3 lines 2-5, Recognition is performed an input characters).

A probability calculation step of obtaining a probability at which characteristics obtained as the character recognition results are generated by said character recognition processing by conditioning characters of words contained in a word dictionary that stores in advance a candidate of the word to be recognized (note fig. 2 block 202, col. 3 lines 10-15, Likelihood obtained from results from dictionary entries).

A word recognition-processing step of obtaining recognition results based on computation results (note fig. 2 block 203 and col. 3 lines 63-65, likely string of digits is obtained recognition results);

Application/Control Number: 09/769,378

Art Unit: 2625

Seybold is silent disclosing computation steps performing a predetermined computation between a probabilities and characteristics from recognition results. However, Burges discloses a computation step performing predetermined computation between probabilities and characteristics from recognition results (note col. 15 line 55 – col. 16 line 6, predetermined computation is performed using character string results and general probability). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art to include computation step in the system of Seybold as evidenced by Burges. Seybold performs character recognition step and Seybold in the same field of endeavor compute probabilities providing an improved method for interpreting sequences more accurate (note col. 3 lines 40-50).

Regarding claim 3 Seybold and Burges discloses,

Wherein information on a characters and non-characters is included in the characters of the words contained in said dictionary (note Seybold col. 3 lines 25-31, identifies information contained in the dictionary).

Regarding claim 4 Seybold and Burges discloses,

Wherein a probability at which a word containing information on said non-characters is generated based on a probability at which a word that does not contain non-character information is generated (note Burges col. 13 lines 40-45, probability of input characters).

Application/Control Number: 09/769,378

Art Unit: 2625

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5-6 allowed.

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance independent claim 5. The additional limitation of delimiting steps in combination with other features is not taught in the prior art. Claim 6 is dependent on claim 5. Therefore also allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

- 5. Claims 2 and 8-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claims 2 and 8, the delimiting features in combination with other features are not taught in the prior art.

Claims 9-10 are dependent upon objected claims. Therefore are also objected.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory M. Desire whose telephone number is (703)

Art Unit: 2625

308-9586. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) Second Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory M. Desire Examiner Art Unit 2625

G.D. March 16, 2004

> BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600